

SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL

LOCAL COMMITTEE (RUNNYMEDE)

DATE: 3 JULY 2017



LEAD OFFICER: SARAH QUININ, PARTNERSHIP LEAD (NORTH)

SUBJECT: LOCAL COMMITTEE COMMUNITY SAFETY FUNDING AND REPRESENTATION ON TASK GROUPS AND EXTERNAL BODIES [FOR DECISION]

DIVISION: RUNNYMEDE (ALL)

SUMMARY OF ISSUE:

The Local Committee has a delegated budget of £3000 for community safety projects in 2017/18. This report sets out the process by which this funding should be allocated to the Community Safety Partnership and/or other local community organisations that promote the safety and wellbeing of residents. The report also seeks the approval of Local Committee task group members and the appointment of representatives to external bodies.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

The Local Committee (Runnymede) is asked to agree that:

- (i) The committee's delegated community safety budget of £3000 for 2017/18 be retained by the Community Partnership Team, on behalf of the Local Committee, and that the Community Safety Partnership and/or other local organisations be invited to submit proposals for funding that meet the criteria and principles set out at paragraphs 2.4-2.8 of this report.
- (ii) Authority be delegated to the Community Partnership Manager, in consultation with the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Local Committee, to authorise the expenditure of the community safety budget, in accordance with the criteria and principles stated at paragraph 2.4-2.8 of this report.
- (iii) The committee receives updates on the project(s) that was funded, the outcomes and the impact it has achieved, and notes the community safety expenditure for 2016-17 set out in paragraph
- (iv) The committee approves the nomination of a councillor to the Runnymede Community Safety Partnership, as set out in paragraph 2.1;
- (v) The committee approves the inception of a new task group to discuss resident engagement and nominates four representatives, as set out

in the terms of reference in **Annex 1**.

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:

The report sets out a process for allocating the committee's delegated community safety budget of £3000 to local organisations. It also proposes Local Committee representation on the Runnymede Community Safety Partnership for the forthcoming year, and the inception of a new task group to propose ways of increasing resident engagement in the Local Committee.

1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND:

- 1.1 Prior to 2016, the Local Committee had historically chosen to passport its delegated community safety funding to the local Community Safety Partnership (CSP) to assist in their efforts to tackle crime and anti-social behaviour on behalf of residents.
- 1.2 Following countywide analysis of the projects that were funded through CSPs and the outcomes achieved, the Local Committee agreed that its local CSP should firstly be invited to provide an outline of any prospective projects that could be supported from the committee's funding for approval. This aimed to provide greater oversight of the committee's expenditure. In the context of the County's Medium Term Financial Plan and the requirement upon all county services to contribute to significant savings, the process would also help to achieve better value for money from projects in support of the County Council's wider community safety priorities.
- 1.3 Representation on external bodies is decided and is reviewed and agreed by Local Committee members annually. The committee is requested to make the appointment to the Runnymede CSP, as detailed in paragraph 2.12 of this report.
- 1.4 The Local Committee task groups for Youth, and Parking Review, which were set up for 2016-17 and met, will not be required in 2017-18 as the Youth Task Groups have been disbanded (as Early Help Boards come into being), and the next Parking Review in Runnymede will not take place until Summer/Autumn 2018. Monitoring of the Runnymede Roundabout major scheme implementation will be carried out by the relevant county and borough councillors.

2. ANALYSIS:

Community Safety Funding

- 2.1 In 2016/17, the committee awarded £3000 for community safety, which was allocated to address concerns about anti-social behaviour around Englefield Green, through the purchase of a mobile high-specification CCTV camera to monitor activity both there and in future at other locations of concern, and following consultation with the Local Committee Chairman, and Vice-Chairman.
- 2.2 A further update on the deployment of the mobile CCTV camera will be provided to the committee in the community safety report which is scheduled for 28

www.surreycc.gov.uk/runnymede

September 2017.

- 2.3 As in the previous year, a clear and simple process designed to support CSPs will be adopted in order that funds can be processed efficiently this year.
- 2.4 Local CSPs will be invited to submit a brief outline of the projects that they would like to put the committee's funding towards, on a simple template designed for this purpose.
- 2.5 To assist CSPs in identifying suitable projects, the following criteria will be provided as a guide:
- (a) Results in residents feeling safer
 - (b) Has clear outcomes that align with the priorities of the Local Committee and/or the CSP
 - (c) Is non recurrent expenditure
 - (d) Does not fund routine CSP activities (e.g. salaries, training)
 - (e) Is not subsumed into generalised or non-descript funding pots
 - (f) Does not duplicate funding already provided (e.g. domestic abuse services, youth work, transport costs, literature co-ordinated across all CSPs)
- 2.6 To ensure funds can be utilised within the current financial year, it is suggested that a deadline of **29 September 2017** is imposed for the submission of outline projects by CSPs and/or local organisations. This deadline will be communicated widely to local CSPs and partner organisations.
- 2.7 To ensure that funds can be distributed speedily and efficiently, it is recommended that authority is delegated to the Community Partnership Manager, in consultation with the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Local Committee, to authorise the expenditure of the committee's funds outside the formal quarterly committee meeting cycle. This should allow local organisations to obtain approval, initiate and implement projects with the minimum of delay.
- 2.8 Once implemented, the CSP and any other recipients of this funding will be required to provide the Local Committee with a short update on each project, outlining how the funding was used and the difference and impact it has made in the local community.

Member allocations funding for 2017-18

- 2.9 Members are asked to note that each county councillor has available funding of £6,000 to allocate for community wellbeing in 2017-18. Details of how this may be spent and the way that community organisations can apply for this are found in **Annex 2**.

Appointment to task groups and external bodies

- 2.10 Surrey County Council is a Responsible Authority on the Community Safety Partnership and has a responsibility to be represented at their meetings.
- 2.11 It is recommended that the Local Committee is represented on the Runnymede Community Safety Partnership, in order to continue the successful work carried out in previous years

- 2.12 Members have highlighted the important of consulting residents about decision-making regarding their local area, and the need to take a fresh look at ways of engaging the public within the scope of the Local Committee. It is proposed that a focused task and finish group, comprising up to four members of the Committee, should be set up and should report back to the Committee by the end of 2017. Proposed terms of reference are at Annex 1.

3. OPTIONS:

- 3.1 All viable options were considered and appraised when forming the recommendations to the Local Committee. The previous arrangement (to 2015-16), whereby the committee transferred both its funding and the decision-making about how the funding could be used to the CSP was not considered to provide sufficient information on the impact that the funding or the outcomes it had achieved.
- 3.2 The recommended funding arrangements will employ a simple process for the commitment of funds by the committee, to enable greater scrutiny over the use of this funding.
- 3.3 The committee can confirm the task group and the corresponding terms of reference as set out in the report. Alternatively, it can establish new task groups, or dispense with previous task groups. If a new task group is established, provisional terms of reference should be agreed.
- 3.4 The committee can either make the appointment to the Runnymede Community Safety Partnership, as set out within the report, or amend this appointment.

4. CONSULTATIONS:

- 4.1 Local Committee Chairmen were collectively consulted about the process for allocating community safety funding, as recommended in this report.
- 4.2 Local Committee member views are being sought on the nominations for representatives on external bodies and on the membership of Local Committee task groups.

5. FINANCIAL AND VALUE FOR MONEY IMPLICATIONS:

- 5.1 The costs of the recommendations in this report are contained within existing revenue budgets. Early scrutiny of proposed projects by CSPs and local organisations will help to achieve better value for money for the Committee's funding.

6. EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS:

- 6.1 There are no direct equalities or diversity implications. However, through its membership of the local CSP and external bodies, the County Council can help to ensure that local services are accessible to harder to reach groups. The CSP also maintains ongoing monitoring of hate and domestic abuse crimes.

7. LOCALISM:

7.1 The proposals contained in this report will enable CSPs and/or other suitable local organisations to submit projects that support the County Council's strategic goal of enhancing resident experience. Membership of task groups and representation on external bodies allows local councillors to consider, recommend and influence policies and services in response to local residents' needs.

8. OTHER IMPLICATIONS:

Area assessed:	Direct Implications:
Crime and Disorder	Set out below
Sustainability (including Climate Change and Carbon Emissions)	No significant implications.
Corporate Parenting/Looked After Children	No significant implications.
Safeguarding responsibilities for vulnerable children and adults	No significant implications.
Public Health	No significant implications

8.1 Crime and Disorder implications

The county council's membership of local CSPs helps ensure the achievement of its community safety priorities. The committee's funding for local community safety projects enables the CSP and/or other local organisations to help to promote safety, reduce crime, and tackle antisocial behaviour and raise awareness of safer practices and behaviours.

9. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

9.1 The recommendations contained in this report are intended to secure greater oversight of the committee's community safety expenditure and achieve better value for money through projects that help to achieve the County's community safety priorities.

The Local Committee (Runnymede) is asked to agree that:

- (i) The committee's delegated community safety budget of £3000 for 2017/18 be retained by the Community Partnership Team, on behalf of the Local Committee, and that the Community Safety Partnership and/or other local organisations be invited to submit proposals for funding that meet the criteria and principles set out at paragraphs 2.4- 2.8 of this report.
- (ii) Authority be delegated to the Community Partnership Manager, in consultation with the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Local Committee, to authorise the expenditure of the community safety budget, in accordance with the criteria and principles stated at paragraph 2.4-2.8 of this report.
- (iii) The committee receives updates on the project(s) that was funded, the outcomes and the impact it has achieved, and notes the community safety expenditure for 2016-17 set out in paragraph

ITEM 11

- (iv) The committee approves the nomination of a councillor to the Runnymede Community Safety Partnership, as set out in paragraph 2.1;
- (v) The committee approves the inception of a new task group to discuss resident engagement and nominates four representatives, as set out in the terms of reference in **Annex 1**.

10. WHAT HAPPENS NEXT:

- 10.1 The CSP will be advised of the funding process agreed by the Local Committee and invited to access this funding.

Contact Officer:

Sarah Quinn, Partnership Lead (North), sarah.quinn@surreycc.gov.uk

Consulted:

Surrey's Local Committee Chairmen and Local Committee members.

Annexes:

1 Terms of Reference for resident engagement task group

2 Applying for Members Allocation 2017-18

Sources/background papers: